sachin.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 7841 OF 2006.

Kailasprasad S. Malpani. ..Petitioner.
Versus.
Shreeprakash S. Malpani. ..Respondents.

Mr. Navin Parekh with Ms. Sheetal Shah i/b M/s. Mehta & Girdharlal for the petitioner.

O. S. Kutty & Mr. M. KI. Menon for respondent no.1.

M. U. Pandey & Rakesh M. Pandey for respondent no.2.

CORAM: S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.

DATE: JANUARY 18, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard. Impugned order warrants no interference. Learned Trial Judge has made it clear that the question of pecuniary jurisdiction will be decided subsequently. For instance, prayer (b) of the plaint is susceptible to monetary valuation. It may exceed the pecuniary jurisdiction of the City Civil Court. The plaint may then be directed to be returned under Order-VII Rule-11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. There is no reason to interfere at this stage.

2. The time for valuation is extended by four weeks from today. Petition is accordingly disposed of.